## NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (98-116)]

## Information Collection: Submission for OMB Review, Comment Request

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA).

**ACTION:** Notice of Agency Report Forms Under OMB Review.

**SUMMARY:** The National Aeronautics and Space Administration has submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

**DATES:** Comments on this proposal should be received on or before October 8, 1998.

ADDRESSES: All comments should be addressed to Ms. Sue McDonald, Mail Code GS4, Lyndon B. Johnson Space Center, Houston, TX 77058.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Carmela Simonson, Office of the Chief Information Officer, (202) 358–1223.

Reports: JSC Form 1625.

*Title:* Radioactive Material Transfer Receipt.

OMB Number: 2700–0007. Type of review: Extension.

Need and Uses: Federal law requires that Johnson Space Center keep records of each radioactive material transfer.

Affected Public: Business or other forprofit, Federal Government, State, Local or Tribal Government.

Number of Respondents: 50. Responses Per Respondent: 2. Annual Responses: 100. Hours Per Request: ½ hr. Annual Burden Hours: 58. Frequency of Report: On occasion.

#### Donald J. Andreotta,

Deputy Chief Information Officer (Operations), Office of the Administrator. [FR Doc. 98–24075 Filed 9–4–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

# NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

**[98–115]** 

NASA Advisory Council (NAC), Space Science Advisory Committee (SScAC), Sun-Earth Connection Advisory Subcommittee; Meeting

**AGENCY:** National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

**ACTION:** Notice of Meeting.

**SUMMARY:** In accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Pub.

L. 92–463, as amended, the National Aeronautics and Space Administration announces a meeting of the NASA Advisory Council, Space Science Advisory Committee, Sun-Earth Connection Advisory Subcommittee.

DATES: Monday, September 21, 1998, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; Tuesday, September 22, 1998, 8:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.; and Wednesday, September 23, 1998, from 8:30 a.m. to 1:00 p.m.

ADDRESSES: National Aeronautics and Space Administration, MIC 6, Room, 6H46 300 E Street, SW, Washington, DC 20546.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. George Withbroe, Code S, National Aeronautics and Space Administration, Washington, DC 20546, (202) 358–2150.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The meeting will be open to the public up to the capacity of the room. The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- —Sun-Earth Connection Program
   Overview: Budget, Current Program,
- —Future Activities
- -Research and Analysis Program
- —Solar Terrestrial Probes Program
- —Solar Probe
- —Discussion and writing groups

It is imperative that the meeting be held on these dates to accommodate the scheduling priorities of the key participants. Visitors will be requested to sign a visitor's register.

Dated: September 1, 1998.

### Matthew M. Crouch,

Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

[FR Doc. 98–24074 Filed 9–4–98; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7510–01–P

### NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-400]

Carolina Power & Light Company; Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License, Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination, and Opportunity For a Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF– 63 issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for operation of the Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant located in Wake and Chatham Counties, North Carolina.

The proposed amendment would revise the Harris Nuclear Plant (HNP) Technical Specifications (TS) concerning the applicability of Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCO) and Surveillance Requirements (SR). Specifically, HNP proposes to revise TS 3.0.4 and associated specifications; TS 4.0.4; and Bases for TS 3.0.3, TS 3.0.4, and TS 4.0.4 to be consistent with Generic Letter 87–09 dated June 4, 1987.

This proposed TS change is needed due to the verbatim requirements of TS 3.0.4 and inoperable TS equipment that would prevent plant shutdown. A verbatim reading of the current HNP TS 3.0.4 would not allow entry into a lesser operational mode if required TS components were inoperable.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not (1) involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated: or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its analysis of the issue of no significant hazards consideration, which is presented below:

1. The proposed amendment does not involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated.

The proposed revision to TS 3.0.4 allows entry into an operational condition in accordance with action requirements when conformance to the action requirements permits continued operation of the facility for an unlimited period of time. This operational flexibility is consistent with that allowed by the existing individual LCOs and their associated action requirements which provide an acceptable level of safety for continued operation.

The proposed revision to TS 4.0.4 clarifies that Specification 4.0.4 does not prevent passage through or to operational conditions as required to comply with action requirements. This is consistent with the existing Specification 3.0.4. In addition, the potential for plant upset and challenge to safety systems is heightened if surveillances are performed during a shutdown to comply with Action Requirements.

The revisions to the Bases Section 3.0 and 4.0 and the elimination of specific exceptions